Filed 07/03/2008 Case 5:08-cv-02238-RMW Document 5 Page 1 of 2 1 2 3 4 5 *E-FILED - 7/3/08* 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ELIAS HERNANDEZ-MORALES, No. C 08-2238 RMW (PR) 12 Petitioner, ORDER OF DISMISSAL 13 VS. 14 MARTY ANDESON, (Docket No. 4) 15 Respondents. 16 17 18 Petitioner, a federal prisoner proceeding <u>pro</u> <u>se</u>, filed a petition for a writ of habeas 19 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner is currently incarcerated at a medical 20 center for federal prisoners in Springfield, Mississippi. In the petition, he states that he is 21 challenging a federal conviction and sentence obtained in Del Rio, Texas, for illegal re-22 entry after deportation. Petitioner states that he previously filed a motion to vacate his 23 sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. 24 Petitioner may not proceed with the instant petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. 25 Section 2254(a) allows a federal court to entertain a petition for a writ of habeas corpus 26 only "in behalf of person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a state court." 28 U.S.C. 27 § 2254(a). As petitioner is a federal prisoner challenging a conviction and sentence from 28 Order of Dismissal 1 P:\pro-se\sj.rmw\hc.08\Hernandez238dis

federal court, he may not obtain federal habeas relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. While petitioner may challenge his federal conviction or sentence in a motion filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, such a motion must be filed in the federal district court in Texas where he was convicted and sentenced. See United States v. Addonizio, 442 U.S. 178, 185 (1979). As petitioner indicates that he has previously filed a motion to vacate his sentence under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, he is cautioned that he must first obtain certification from the United States Court of Appeals before he may challenge the same sentence or conviction a second time under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. See 28 U.S.C. § 2255.

For the reasons discussed above, the instant petition is DISMISSED for failure to

For the reasons discussed above, the instant petition is DISMISSED for failure to state a cognizable claim for relief. The application to proceed in forma pauperis is GRANTED.

The clerk shall terminate docket number 4 and close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 7/1/08

RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge